

The Board Meeting of the Board of Trustees met in the Municipal Building, 14 Baker Street, Patchogue, New York on April 25, 2011.

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Mayor Pontieri, Trustees Crean, Devlin, Hilton, Keyes, Krieger, Village Attorney Egan, Village Treasurer Krawczyk and Village Clerk Seal present. Deputy Mayor McGiff was not present.

The flag salute was made. Mayor Pontieri read the safety message.

Upon a motion made Trustee Crean, seconded by Trustee Keyes, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the Annual Re-organization Meeting minutes of April 4, 2011, as presented.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Crean, seconded by Trustee Keyes, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the Board Meeting minutes of April 11, 2011, as presented.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Crean, seconded by Trustee Devlin, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the Budget Hearing minutes of April 18, 2011, as presented.

Village Treasurer Krawczyk stated: The bills for the period ending April 25, 2011 totaled \$542,844.83. The five largest bills paid were: NYS Employees Health \$99,561.35 for monthly premium; Cronin, Cronin & Harris \$76,000.00 for tax Certiori; Fidele Construction \$57,475.00 for Bay Avenue; H2M \$47,647.97 for sewer treatment plant (the majority of that we will be reimbursed for); Town of Brookhaven \$34,837.26 for landfill charges.

General Fund	\$371,337.71
Trust & Agency	37,904.07
CAP Projects	88,060.89
Sewer Fund	29,461.31
BID Fund	4,183.23
Housing Fund	1,509.84
CDA Fund	6,237.01
General Bills	4,150.77
Totals	\$542,844.83

Upon a motion made by Trustee Krieger, seconded by Trustee Devlin, and unanimously carried, the board approved payment of the bills as presented.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved budget transfer of \$500.00 from Riverfront account to Recreation Promo account to assist with Relay for Life promotion.

Village Treasurer Krawczyk stated: You have before you the cash balances as of March 31, 2011.

Village Clerk Seal stated: Notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be held on Monday, April 25, 2011, at 7:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building, 14 Baker Street, Patchogue, New York, by the Village Board of the Incorporated Village of Patchogue to amend Sections 415-15 and 415-19(A) of Chapter 415 of the Village Code to change the parking restrictions on Cedar Avenue from Laurel Street to the Great South Bay to Permit Only, a copy of which proposed local law is on file at the Office of the Village Clerk. At said Public Hearing any person interested will be given the opportunity to be heard.

Trustee Devlin stated: A number of the homes do not have driveways.

Village Clerk Seal stated: Each registered vehicle from a home owner gets a sticker for their car. We are limiting four per household, but for a party or something like that, they can come in and request additional.

Public to be heard:

Stephanie Sherman stated: It would be a huge inconvenience for my family to have parking by permit only. From what I can see on April 11th there was a meeting and he apparently put

something out about this valet parking issue on the streets of Patchogue and that hasn't come to a hearing yet as I assume. I would like for you to wait and see how that turns out before you subject us all to these parking permits. It is crazy what goes on in my household; my daughter's friends show up and park behind because they don't want to park in the street. (Villager Clerk Seal stated: The local law reads, "No person shall stop, stand, park any vehicle for the following streets except with permit from May 15th through October 1st from Thursday through Sunday").

Village Attorney Egan stated: Let me tell you what the law is and what the code changes are, regardless of what the signs say. The parking now says, no parking 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. Friday, Saturday, Sunday and Holidays from May 15th to October 1st, regardless of permit. Maiden Lane now has parking by permit only. This proposal was to make it By Permit Only from May 15 through October 1 from Thursday through Sunday, that section of Cedar from Laurel to the Great South Bay. So, now instead of making it an outright prohibition which it is now, no parking, that should loosen it by making it By Permit Only.

Ms. Sherman stated: But, that would mean I would need permits for all my daughter's friends that come over. On Fri-Sun in the summer they know and pull in the driveway; I will be blocked forever. I just don't want more restrictions on us. Why can't we wait and see, until this valet parking thing is heard.

Trustee Devlin stated: Right now, the only problem is that no one can park in front of my house on the weekends during the summer at all. Over 25 years, only my sister in law got a ticket for parking just for five minutes. I think if we decided not to pass this, we should consider re-doing the signs because a lot of the signs are missing and many of them don't have the time or season; they just say, No Parking.

Mayor Pontieri stated: We are keeping the Thursday-Sunday part in place, and it is by permit on those days. The rest of the week you are back the way you were before.

Ms. Sherman stated: I am just wondering why you don't wait until we do the valet thing because I think the issue is Lombardi's parking.

Trustee Devlin stated: This was not a Lombardi's issue. We got some letters from Village residents on Cedar Avenue requesting.

Mr. Sherman stated: I know, he is my neighbor and his frustration is his next door neighbors who are parking in front of his house. Four cars moved into this really tiny bungalow next door and are parking in front of his house all the time.

Mayor Pontieri stated: They will be getting permits and can park there anyway and he is not going to accomplish what he wanted.

Trustee Devlin stated: There is no parking during the summer on the weekends.

Ms. Sherman stated: They do park after 6 p.m. for the parties they have there. Now they will start moving over, down Brightwood and then Roosevelt. It is the valet problem. The guy who runs the valets, runs the people back and forth—screams down Maiden Lane in a jeep and picks up the valets and drives them back. It is a danger and I understand why the people on Maiden Lane are screaming. My neighbor on Cedar Avenue said they are going to kill somebody running back and forth with these cars.

Trustee Hilton stated: I feel that one issue is not connected to the other, valet.

Trustee Devlin stated: The genesis of this from our point of view was not the valet; I don't see them coming up as far as my house. It is just that there is no parking all summer in front of our homes because of the ferry.

Trustee Crean stated: It is a pretty long stretch from Laurel all the way to the Bay. To try and contain a couple of businesses that have their patrons spilling out onto the street, I really don't see someone on the corner of Laurel walking to Lombardi.

Trustee Devlin stated: It is more the Sandspit on the weekends. I have seen people leave their car over the weekend. That is the reason it is there, but it is not enforced. Most of the cars I have seen illegally parked are my neighbors because they don't have room in their driveway.

Mayor Pontieri stated: I think we should vote for it as written. That will leave a lot of the residents to get permits and gives us the option to enforce.

Trustee Keyes asked: Is the party who made the original complaint here?

Village Clerk stated: I do not see him here. He said he felt the signs that were there prohibited him during the summer when he had company and that he felt it was important to be able to park at least on the street. He had asked that it be consistent with the other areas down on the south end, Maiden and Smith that we did turn into parking By Permit Only.

Mayor Pontieri stated: My sense is that we pass it as presented because I don't think it really affects what Stephanie is talking about and it just affects those four days on the weekend, regardless what happens at the hearing on the 9th. I don't think they are connected.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to amend Section 415-15 and 415-19(A) of Chapter 415 to change the parking restrictions on Cedar Avenue from Laurel Street to the Great South Bay to permit only parking.

Trustee Crean stated: If there are enough complaints about it this summer, we can always change it. As Attorney Egan said, it relaxes the law and allows people to actually park in front of their houses or have their friends and relatives to park without having to worry about getting a ticket from Public Safety.

Trustee Keyes stated: I would like to request approval to increase the retainer fee for H2M in the amount of \$75,000 for the upgrade and expansion of the sewer treatment plant.

Mayor Pontieri stated: H2M are the engineers for the waste water treatment plant. We are getting into the final stretch and they have a request for a change order to continue doing the engineering and to continue the process. I am going to ask H2M to do a couple of things for us. Number one, to explain the change order and secondly, to give us an update as to the status of the plant, where we are at and the projection as to when we will flip the switch.

Frank Russo stated: I have actually been the Village's Sanitary Engineer for the last 27 years. I designed the plant that we are now updating. I am Vice President of the firm and I head the Waste Water Engineering Division. With me tonight, I have Chris Weiss and Stephen Cluff who are the principal designers of your project. Currently, the total construction cost is \$10,138,000. To date we have paid the Village on the contracts roughly 7.8 million dollars. We are approximately 77% complete. But, that is not exactly the true indication of where we are with the project. Right now, since we are reusing some of the existing tankage and we have to maintain treatment, we are converting the processes in a phased approach. As of tomorrow we will be doing start up of the mechanical equipment that will actually eventually take over for the existing plant. By mid-May or so, we should be on line with the new plant and the old plant will be taken off line. The existing plant is being held together by the good work of Larry Williams and Joe Dean and the rest of the staff down at the plant. There was a major repair down at the plant. We missed it by maybe a month. They did their best and it is working and it is doing what it is supposed to do and the State knows that. And because the plant is underway, they are looking forward to the new plant. Our original engineering fee for the construction services is \$665,000; when you add in the construction and our fee, our fee is roughly 6.2% of the construction. We are asking for \$75,000 and I will go into why in a moment. That would increase our fee from 6.2 to 6.8%. That amount, since our engineering is eligible under the bond, the funding that is already in place, that amounts to about \$380 a month over the life of the bond. The Village received funding and grants from many sources. Roughly, 1.2 million dollars from the 1996 Water Bond Act, the Environmental Protection Fund add another \$573,000, the EPA grant was close to 5.2 million—that grant became eligible because of the process that we selected. It is a process that does not use a lot of electricity. That was taken into consideration, obviously, by the amount of the grant that you got. The total of all the grants was roughly 7.2 million dollars out of what was a construction cost of roughly 10.1 million. The rest of the money will be made up,

from what I understand, from key money charges, the money that the Village has been collecting from some of the out-of-district connections. H2M, Marian Russo, and Edward Byrne worked on those Village grant applications in order to present a proposal to all the funding agencies. The key here was the stimulus and what is called the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and they came out with a need that said that by Dec. 31, 2009, the construction contracts had to be executed. We tailored the schedule to meet that Dec. 31, 2009 deadline. Obviously, we did that. Our proposal was prepared on Nov. 11, 2009. The bid date was Oct. 22, 2009. The Notice to Proceed was issued on Dec. 28, 2009. The Board Resolution for our construction services was January 13, 2010. The groundbreaking was on Feb. 8th. This is the point. NYS EFC did not issue their regulations for compliance with that 5.2 of the 1.2 million dollars until early spring of 2010. When they did that, they dropped a bombshell. One of the major provisions was to buy American. Everything that was to be incorporated into the project had to be American made with some minor exceptions, like screws & nuts. The documentation that was required was enormous. Once again, the EPA gave the State the money and because it was the stimulus program, the whole purpose of this was to create jobs to stimulate the economy. We had to show the State that indeed that was what the project was doing. The monthly reporting requirements which we prepared for the Village showed how much labor was involved each month, both from a construction standpoint and from an engineering standpoint. We had to comply with the Davis-Bacon Act for prevailing wages. We had to do interviews with the contractors, the workmen on site, and make sure that they were being paid the rate that the contractor claimed they were being paid. NYS EFC issued a RP Tour, another consulting firm. What they did was they came in and inspected all those records that we were keeping. They would actually take records to look and see where a pump was manufactured. And indeed, where the chain of customs was throughout the entire chain. That was our job, to prove to them that everything that was incorporated was American made. Back in early spring, I came to the Mayor and said we were not anticipating this much work. It was beyond a normal construction project. Mr. Cuff who was the resident on the job was more or less dealing so much with the paperwork that the real job, the work that was being done to the plant—the inspection that was being done to the plant wasn't being jeopardized because Steve would put in the extra hours. But, it wasn't working out; it was too much. That is when I went to the Mayor and said I need to bring another inspector in to keep an eye out there while Steve does the paperwork. The Mayor said, we will see. Back on Nov. 17, 2010, when we were about 60% done with the project we put that in writing and asked for a fee adjustment of that \$75,000. We then recently put a new date, but the contents of the letter stayed the same. As I said, in mid-May we will be on line with the new process; that is Phase I. That will allow us to take some of the existing package off line and convert that to other uses, mostly because it is sludge that is being produced. But, because the process has to develop, we won't be generating enough sludge that it will be a hardship at this point. We are still scheduled to be completed—the formal completion date is June 21, 2011. It looks to be going over a little bit, let's say till early August. But, substantially we will be done by June 21st. So why are we asking for this? First of all, the stimulus compliance and what we had to prove to the State for additional funds was an extraordinary amount of paperwork. We got a compliment from NYS EFC saying that our project was the model by which all of the projects should be measured. It is something that we are very proud of because if we did not do that, that would jeopardize the grant—and nobody wanted to hear that. We reused as much of the system that we possibly can. One of the structures that we used was the garage that was built in the '50's. When the contractor started to cut away what he needed to do, the building started to shift and there were cracks and it was unstable. It was an old building and we tried our best. That building needed to be replaced. That building is being constructed with Village workforces. In talking with Superintendent Dean, I understand that maybe material costs is going to run \$10,000. The labor cost is going to be there no matter what. So instead of them doing X they are now building a garage which will house very, very expensive equipment, motor control centers and very exquisite electric appliances that cost a lot of money. There was a delay of a LIPA transformer, again not anybody's fault. We all know about the harsh winter that we had; this project is being constructed outdoors and it is hard to work outdoors when we had the winter that we just had. The electrical contractor did fall behind, but after pressuring him he worked overtime on his own money and he staffed the project with more resources to catch up and that is where we are today. Let me also say that I know there has been a lot of over-compliance; thank you for your patience. Sometimes you have to take a step backward before you go forward and this is indeed that situation. In order to re-use the tank that was holding the sludge, or the byproduct of the process, we had to take that off the line. Well, what happened when that was exposed to the atmosphere and it was septic and we all know what septic smells like. The new facility will be aerated and will be under a controlled environment that will prevent it from going septic and, therefore, the odors will disappear. (Display of Sewer

Treatment Plant presented.) This is actually the plant that I built and designed. Back then this was a very state of the art technology—not any more. This is your ten million dollar new plant. This is the existing building and the garage that is being built. These are the existing tanks and existing process. We constructed new headworks. We converted these tanks and built this process reactor which got you 5.something million dollars and these two clarifiers, same as these but only a lot larger. This facility is a 800,000 gallons a day, readily expandable to 1.2 egd and expandable again to 2.4 egd with capital investment. The original plant was built in the 1930's, upgraded again in 1950, and again 1984-1987. This is the facility that is being constructed now. These huge tanks, these clarifiers, the existing tank will be removed, these tanks will be moth-balled, we are rebuilding this tank, and we have an ultraviolet system instead of chlorine which is a carcinogen. We are now using ultraviolet to disinfect the process. I would like you to consider our request. On a 10.1 million dollar contract there is only one pending change order and that is for the garage that is being built because the contractor had to put a new slab down. We had only one change order. We are still negotiating, as there is a difference of opinion as is typical with a contractor and an engineer and we are negotiating that change order. It will be in the \$20,000 range. There are stipulated cash allowances that we put in the bid for unforeseen conditions so that it is in the bid. We don't think we will be using those, so I think what is going to happen, relatively certain, is that the credits that we have on the contract will offset any change orders. And, I think we will actually come in a lot better than our bid prices.

Trustee Crean asked: The number that was set and is actually signed by Chris from just a couple of weeks ago, at the bottom of first page, could you describe what that means there? It says that the project extends past the completion date by three months. Last week it was \$75,000, but I see a number of \$150,000. What is that for?

Frank Russo stated: My theory has always been that we need to advise you. We don't control construction; we are there. This was a letter that was originally written in Nov. of 2009 when we were 60% done. Now we are almost 80% done and we have a much better feel of where we are and how we will end up at the end of the project. Right now we are asking that \$75,000. There is no profit added onto that; we are asking salary plus our employee fringes. We have a much better sense of what is going on. That \$150,000 back then was probably true; it is not now. It is more or less that \$75,000 cap that we are asking for. But, once again, we don't control the construction; we are there and monitor. That is tough when we have to assign a labor value which is not in our control and that is why we are doing that.

Trustee Crean stated: In the letter that was sent and actually signed by Chris from a couple of weeks ago, bottom of first page, could you describe what you means there? It says that the project extends past the completion date by three months. You are asking for \$75,000, but I see a number of \$150,000 being paid. What is the difference for?

Frank Russo stated: My theory has always been that we need to advise you; we don't control the construction. We are there. Now this letter was originally written in November, 2009, when we were 60% done. Now we are almost 80% done and we have a much better feel for where we are and how we will end up at the end of the project. Right now we are asking for that \$75,000. There is no profit added onto that. We are asking for salary plus employee fringes. And we have a much better sense of what is going on. Back then that \$150,000 was true, it is not now. It is more or less that \$75,000 cap that we are asking for. Once again, we don't control the construction; we are there and we monitor. That is tough when we have to assign a labor value that is not in our control and that is why we are doing that.

Trustee Crean stated: So, we are not looking at getting another letter from you saying that you will need another \$75,000.

Frank Russo stated: No; I do not anticipate that at this time. At this time the project is much better looking than it was in November. And that was really before the winter, and if the winter would have held true, and the electrical contractor didn't staff the project, we probably would have been looking at that. But, we would have been going after the contractors for liquidating values and you still would have not been liable. It would have come out of money due the contractors because that is what your contract with the contractors said.

Mayor Pontieri stated: One of the things I do want to say has to do with the change orders. The two gentlemen who sit there stayed on RJ Construction and they followed the plan as the plan

was written. So many times you hear, we are dealing with another project right now. Or, every time you turn around, there is something new that is not in the contract. It is much appreciated. Your staff makes you look good, Frank.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Devlin, and unanimously carried, the Board approved request to increase the retainer for H2M in the amount of \$75,000.00 (to be paid with EFC funds) for the upgrade and expansion of the sewer treatment plant.

B.I.D. Report – Dennis Smith stated: I am going to start with a brief update on the he NYS Main Street grant for West Main Street and part of South Ocean Avenue. Beginning the week of March 21st there was six applications handed out for eligible projects. They were Carl Ehmers on South Ocean Avenue, 22 West Main Street—the old Mosaica storefront, 114 West Main Street—the old PeraBel, 192 West Main Street and 172 West Main Street which are across from Briarcliffe College. And, there was also one issued for the four corners property, including the Stanley’s annex. These applications are out. We have asked for a 60 day turnaround, assuming that the applicants have gotten the proper approvals that they need. When we start getting the applications back we will convene the Grants Committee and make decisions on the eligible projects. The total amount of the grant is \$500,000. There are components though for administration as well as beautification. But, there is \$400,000 that can be put for building beautification which would include façade and interior. It is \$50,000 per building, matching funds. This grant if you want to do something to front and rear façade as well as the interior of your building, you could get up to a \$50,000 match. That changes if there is a residential component, an apartment dwelling on top of one of these buildings, you could get an additional \$25,000 per residential dwelling up to four dwellings. So, if you have that kind of a building that has four apartments on top of it and want to do some front & rear façade and fix the residential component, you can get up to \$150,000 with this grant. I was hoping to have more than six people at this point and time. What I am going to do is target some places that I think we could make a difference and see if that building owner is willing to work with us.

Dennis Smith stated: We have tentatively set up May 21st for weed control for West Main Street, South Ocean Avenue, and Oak Street and its parking field. I also would like to do Havens. The Annual Meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, June 21st at for 4 p.m. in Village Hall. With that being said, I would like to request to be able to present the Annual Report to the Board on June 13th.

Trustee Crean asked: Some of the B.I.D. members have been talking about the cross walk in front of the Theatre and some of the improvements that should be made.

Dennis Smith stated: We have been speaking with a company in California that enhances crosswalks. What they do is something like is in front of the hospital, which the chaser lights that go across the broadwalk. They have signs that actually light up. There are mats that activate the crosswalk. It is an expensive proposition. If you were to get everything that they offer with the solar powered ballasts that would trigger over the crosswalk, it would probably go in excess of \$20,000. But, what we are looking to do because after meeting we deduced that the best thing that we could do for the crosswalk would to put something in the street signaling to cars that there was someone in the crosswalk waiting to walk. The signs don’t seem to work. We do have the arms across Main Street, but there are still a ton of cars that go through there who have no regard for anyone who might or might not be in the crosswalk. With that having been said, we are going to look into just isolating the price for the chaser lights on that crosswalk and then the mats and the buttons that would trigger them from either end, which I think we could do somewhere for around \$11,000. I should have more information for the next meeting. We do have a line in our budget just for crosswalks so it would not impact this year’s budget, nor would it impact next years. There was some talk about bringing it to other crosswalks. Once we nail down the price and see what we can do, then we will see if we can carry it to South Ocean, or maybe West Main, or maybe partner with someone, and make them a lot safer than what they are now. I did e-mailed all the information to Joe Dean because this would be installed by DPW.

Trustee Krieger stated: Once again, I would like to welcome Gateway Playhouse to the Patchogue Theatre. They will be presenting some great shows. Their show for July 13-30 is *Spamalot*. Every weekday we have children shows—July 16 is *Peter Rabbit*, July 22 is *Jack and the Bean Stalk*, and July 29 is Jim West *Dinosaurs*. It is great to have them back. Two weekends ago Brett Michaels was sold out, the Jersey Boys, and Bob Anderson who brought in 2,500 people into the Theatre.

Trustee Crean stated: I had the opportunity to meet with Mike Rau last weekend. With the redevelopment of East Main Street, he will be looking to relocate his business by Memorial Day. What I would like the Board to consider is making Potter Street two-way for at least part of its length and still leave it one way from Main Street. There is an entrance off of Bay Ave. which would alleviate some traffic circulation for not only Mike Rau, but for Checkers, the bank and 7-11.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Crean, seconded by Trustee Hilton, and unanimously carried, the Board set a public hearing to be held on Monday, May 9, 2011, at 7:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building, 14 Baker Street, Patchogue, New York, by the Village Board of the Incorporated Village of Patchogue to consider making part of Potter Street two-way.

Trustee Hilton stated: Two weekends ago we had a very successful Brookhaven Cleanup at Shorefront Park. Unfortunately, last weekend we had to cancel the Bunny Run because of the rain, but there are a lot of activities coming up. This weekend we have on Saturday starting 9 a.m. at Shorefront we have the Tai-Chi Day Qigongin in front of the Bandshell. At 9:30 the PYAA is having their parade down Rider to about 10 a.m. Opening Pitch and Ceremony at the Rider Avenue Ballfields. Along with that at the same time, the Mayor is going to read a Proclamation to the American Cancer Society in support of the Relay for Life Program that we are hosting in the Village.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Hilton, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for the Patchogue Lions Club to hold the annual "Independence Day Parade" on Monday, July 4th from 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. This parade goes from west to east. The theme for this year's parade is "A Salute to Our Flag."

Upon a motion made by Trustee Hilton, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for the Garden Club to use Terry Street for plant sale on May 14th from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m., rain date of May 15th with parking on south side of Terry Street and east side of South Ocean. No peddler permit required.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Hilton, seconded by Trustee Devlin, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for the Fundacion Lucero De America to use the municipal parking lot adjacent to the Bravo Supermarket for an event "Celebrando al Apostol Santiago" on Sunday, July 24 from 2 to 7 p.m.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Hilton, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to hire dock staff as per list presented.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Hilton, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for Patchogue-Medford Youth Community Services to use the Rider Avenue tennis courts for their tennis lessons to be held on the listed Saturdays from 10:30 to 12:30. A certificate of insurance is required.

Trustee Devlin stated: We had voted in a moratorium recently and Trustee Hilton and I met and we are going to plan some meetings with members of the Zoning and Planning Boards to start talking about that process. We would like to request from the Trustees if they have any specific issues that they would like addressed, or points to include, we would like that submitted in writing.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board appointed John Rocco as Chairman of the Planning Board for one year.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board appointed Nicholas Fuccillo as Chairman of the Zoning Board for one year.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Devlin, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to hire John McCormack as a summer intern to facilitate our pavement management program at \$12.00 per hour.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Devlin, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to hire Jadeco Construction to reconfigure intersection of Terry St., Taylor Lane and the parking lot entrance.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Hilton, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to purchase 60' of EZ-Dock at a cost not to exceed \$15,000.

Trustee Hilton stated: What we are purchasing is 30' sections. We have four 30' sections, each one made of timber and Styrofoam. The docks that we are buying is made of fiberglas-type material that come in 30' sections. What we are planning to do is replace one section per year and eventually in four years we will have all new sections. We will make sure that the old docks will be able to couple to new dock. After than we will get new ramps. I think it is a good deal because every year we spend upwards of \$10,000 in dock repairs for the sections that we now have. Every four years we repair them. In the long way, it makes sense. The new dock we are going to put on the outside because that is the part that is hit the hardest, the east wind, and it will be a good test. The expected occupancy of the dock this year usually runs about 70%. We have had trouble renting the slips on the bulkhead because they are not protected from people who are fishing and crabbing and have easy access to the sterns. And, also it is very shallow there now. It has been less and less every year. Recreational boating is taking a hit.

Mayor Pontieri stated: I think by doing it this way, you find out the durability of what you have, instead of spending \$60,000 and then find out. So, not going for the whole loaf of bread is a better idea.

Trustee Keyes stated: We have purchased some eco-friendly geese repellent which gets sprayed on the grass.

Trustee Keyes stated: The Roe Walkway is just about complete. We expect full completion in about a month. We just have to do the electric, power wash it and seal it.

Mayor Pontieri stated: I know, Trustee Keyes. that you are working with a group who feeds the feral cats and they are moving them to another part of the park.

Public to be Heard:

Mark Siegel, Blum's stated: When you drive into the parking lot, there is a big hole. Thank you for helping us with the fence, but Mr. Siegel is still upset still. I am getting new cameras for my store that is digital, two are going out the back door and one at the front door. Next year you will have it on digital cameras.

Donald Wachsmith, 60 Carmen Street, Patchogue, stated: I am happy to announce that after more than a year of trying and a few false starts my effort to assist in cleaning up the Village streets started tonight. I have been working with Steve McGiff, Joe Dean and Peter Sarich. What I am planning on doing is stopping at the homes where there is garbage on the streets at inappropriate times, toilet bowls, mattresses, bags of garbage. Tonight between work and here I stopped at 19 homes and spoke to three people of those homes, all generally concerned, cooperative and friendly. What I did was stuff some official envelopes—a colored copy of the schedule, a copy of the 24 hour warning and a little note from myself explaining my plan and saying that it is not an official warning, but in the future may become an official warning. My plan is to get North and South Ocean Avenue and all the roads south of Main Street down to the Bay. And anytime I see garbage out I will stop by and say, hello. If not, just leave the envelope. I think implementing it is going to be a lot easier than getting started. I did get approval tonight that what I want to do is okay. I think very quickly it will make a difference. Once I think I have hit that one area, I will spread out. Cedar Avenue has a lot of garbage out there. I spoke to people tonight we had five residences in the back who all the time has garbage piled in the street. That is my plan and I hope it will work in a short amount of time.

Mark Fauci, 541 South Ocean Avenue, Patchogue, stated: Regarding the dock, it is good to hear that we are trying something new. But, as someone who is really familiar with that dock and has kept his boat there a number of seasons, I can tell you that no matter what you do, including a fiberglas dock; after all, the boats that are being damaged are made of fiberglas. You can't fix the problem without a breakwater. Granted shallowing is an issue. The reason that people are

not using the dock is because their boats get banged up. Like you said, it doesn't even have to be wind from the southeast, wind from the south & slightly east and you get breakers in there. It is a huge resource and could fund our Recreation Department if it is fully utilized. I didn't know we were spending \$10,000 a year repairing the docks. If that is the figure, there are a couple of ways to go. You can do pilings in the ground which can be removed at the end of a season. Or, there are floating systems that you can purchase which are made of polypropylene, bring them out, fill them with water and they sink, and they provide a temporary breakwater and at the end of the season you float them. They are like what was used at the Normandy invasion. Tow them out there, they are light enough for two people to pick up, fill them up with water, and they sit there and they are chained together and break the water enough to stop it. You don't have to do anything permanent. I would imagine DEC issues would be a problem if you did anything permanent. I think a temporary thing would fix it. I don't say swallowing is an issue, especially like mine which is a sailboat. But, I know people who are not putting their motorboats in that draw almost no water because they get banged up every year. Not only do they get banged up during storms, but you find yourself a prisoner of the weather report because you are fearing a southeast wind. One particular season, we had probably during one month 80% of the days with the wind blowing east and people were there every day, trying to get their boats from getting banged up. On the subject of found money, we just did a great job bulking the end of Driftwood on the river. What we should do is put about 6-7 slips in there, bow and stern in, boats will stick out maybe 25'—no farther than the existing pilings that are on the river so it is not going to block the passage on the river. So, again that is a bulkhead that you spent money on—that could be \$6,000-\$7,000 that could be used for Recreation with the expenses of just putting up a few pilings. I don't think security or parking would be a problem. There are other places to put boats that is Village property. The big opportunity is Mascot Dock. If you put the bulkhead in right, you could double the number of slips in there, conservatively, and that would be a well spent investment. If the docks are being banged up, imagine what happens to the boats.

Trustee Hilton stated: The fix is to flip the dock over to the west side, and close it in between Mascot and Sandspit. But, the big fix where the big money is and something we should think about doing is just to turn it over to one of these huge marinas and have them give us a million dollars a year.

Mark Fauci stated: I think we should get Brookhaven Township as well as the Village to turn over that whole basin to a private, competent marina company and you would be making more money on, just your cut.

Trustee Hilton stated: We could talk to Lombardi. If he did that he would want to dredge it out and bring the big boats in like Greenport.

Trustee Hilton stated: The last thing that I would want to do would be to maintain the dock to expand it at the level that we are doing now.

Mark Fauci stated: It doesn't preclude the other. Assuming today, that we started today with the DEC permits, it could be four years before we actually got something in there. But, you still have an opportunity. I think if you made a \$30,000 investment—really simple breakwater in that marina, you could generate more than enough income of what you put into it by putting more boats in. Right now, as everyone knows, it is not a safe place to put your boat in. Like me; it is torture. I can literally walk to my boat. I am not saying the economy is not affecting it. But, it is really an issue whether or not you would want to take in some cases a \$30,000-\$40,000 boat and leave it for target practice for the waves.

Trustee Devlin asked: So which would you have a preference for when you were on the LWRP?

Mark Fauci stated: I don't think there is an exclusive. I think what you are looking at is you have the short term plan, low cost plan which is to simply put something in for the breakwater. I gave you that thing 2-3 years ago. It is an ambitious plan with respect to the DEC, the cost, and then you have to deal with the people who said it would block their view. It is a complicated plan. The Beach Club, its own docking space closed in that area so that they could have races with the dinges. You could technically go off of the unused ramp off the east end of Shorefront Park. There are a lot of things you can do there. It is just a completely un-utilized goldmine.

Trustee Hilton stated: To me it would work because it would protect the Beach Club. Mark has some very well done plans.

Mayor Pontieri stated: My concern right now is whatever we talk about comes back to the 2% tax cap, the funding side of it.

Trustee Hilton stated: If we turn it over to a private person, especially with Lombardi down there, they probably would want to do it themselves, with keeping part of it for our own Village as part of the contract.

Mayor Pontieri stated: Let's sit and talk about it more because we have to figure out a way to create revenues.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Crean, seconded by Trustee Hilton, and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

Signature _____
Patricia M. Seal, Village Clerk

/id