

The Board Meeting of the Board of Trustees met in the Municipal Building, 14 Baker Street, Patchogue, New York on January 24, 2011.

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Mayor Pontieri with Deputy Mayor McGiff, Trustees Crean, Devlin, Hilton, Keyes, Krieger, Village Treasurer Krawczyk, Village Attorney Egan and Village Clerk Seal present.

The flag salute was made. Mayor Pontieri read the safety message.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Cream, and unanimously carried, the Board approved the minutes of January 10, 2011 as presented.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Hilton, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to accept the 2010/2011 report of the Receiver of Taxes as presented.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Hilton, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to set Tax Grievance Day for Tuesday, February 15, 2011 from 5 to 8 p.m.

Upon a motion made by Deputy Mayor McGiff, seconded by Trustee Keyes, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to set Tax Sale Day for Thursday, February 24, 2010 at 10:00 a.m.

Village Treasurer Krawczyk stated: The bills for the period January 14-24, 2011 totaled \$610,702.18. The five highest bills were: RJ Industries \$237,283.59 for sewer treatment plant upgrade, Koeppl Martone Leistman \$58,000.00 for tax certiar 40 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Town of Brookhaven \$30,468.11 for landfill charges, Koeppl Martone Leistman \$15,000.00 for tax certiar 31 Oak St, and LIPA \$14,239.13 for electric charges.

General Fund	\$322,741.68
Trust & Agency	\$3,253.35
CAP Projects	\$250,248.17
Sewer Fund	\$21,883.68
BID Fund	\$2,399.87
Housing Fund	\$1,304.17
CDA Fund	\$6,211.76
General Bills	\$2,659.50
Totals	\$610.702.18

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, and seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board approved payment of the bills as presented.

Village Treasurer Krawczyk stated: For your information you have the cash balances as of the end of December, 2010.

Village Clerk Seal stated: Notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be held on Monday, January 10, 2011 at 7:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building, 14 Baker Street, Patchogue, New York 11772, by the Village Board of the Incorporated Village of Patchogue to amend Chapter 415-55; Article XV "One Way Streets" to consider making Terry Street one way from South Ocean Avenue east to Taylor Lane and make Taylor Lane one way heading south, a copy of which proposed local law is on file at the Office of the Village Clerk. At said Public Hearing any person interested will be given the opportunity to be heard.

Mayor Pontieri stated: This is based on a couple of issues. The primary one is when attempting to make a turn off of Terry Street onto South Ocean Avenue whether that being north or south and how difficult that can be. There have been a number of accidents there and it is a fairly dangerous street. The second part of that issue is that by doing that, it would make space for probably 110 spaces—all the way around Taylor and Terry Street. The primary issue is the health and safety issue in terms of making that turn.

Trustee Keyes stated: Trustee Krieger and I looked and saw that we could eliminate some traffic in that section, and the additional bonus would be gaining about 100 needed parking spaces in that area.

Trustee Crean stated: I had a chance to walk around the area, both on the weekend and during the week in the afternoon. The question I had is the new residents that will be living in Artspace and Mr. DeFilippo who lives on Taylor Lane, the only way for them to get to their homes is to come down Rider Avenue and then head west on Terry Street. While I recognize that we have a need for additional parking spaces, I don't think this is a good solution for that. We are offsetting traffic flow for parking spaces. I am well aware of the challenges of trying to turn south onto South Ocean Ave. from Terry. I have no problem at all making Terry one way south, but I think the first step that we might want to consider to alleviate some of the hazard making that turn onto South Ocean is to eliminate going south—no left hand turn from Terry. Allow traffic to head north. What I am envisioning is that Terry is one way from Terry to Academy, so when you come onto Academy to get to that parking lot from Taylor you would have to go the wrong way on that street.

Mayor Pontieri stated: You can come down South Ocean, make a left onto Terry and make a left into the parking lot. From east bound you can enter into the parking lot. If you are coming from west you can't come down Terry to get into Artspace. We can put up one-way signs and do not enter signs. At that corner at 3:30-4:00 p.m., the amount of traffic that you deal with at that little section just amazes me. A public hearing is for the public to voice their opinion also. Are there any comments from the public?

Gail Hoag, Greater Patchogue Chamber of Commerce, 15 North Ocean Ave., Patchogue stated: On the Chamber's point of view, yes we welcome it. We need those parking spaces and it will help alleviate some of the traffic. From a personal point of view, when you are talking about safety. I am a member of the United Methodist Church which is faces that intersection on South Ocean. Cars have actually gone up the steps of the church on the north side and destroyed property there. For safety reasons I would also like to see this happen.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Krieger, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to amend code by adding Section 415-5(C) and 415-55(D) of Chapter 415 of the Village Code which shall read as follows: 415-55(C) Terry Street, except in an easterly direction, from South Ocean Avenue to Taylor Lane. And 415-55(D) Taylor Lane, except in a southerly direction.

Village Clerk Seal stated: Notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be held on Monday, January 10, 2011 at 7:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building, 14 Baker Street, Patchogue, New York 11772, by the Village Board of the Incorporated Village of Patchogue to amend Chapter 353 "Sewage Disposal" of the Village Code to include a surcharge on discharges that exceed permitted strengths, a copy of which proposed local law is on file at the Office of the Village Clerk. At said Public Hearing any person interested will be given the opportunity to be heard.

Village Attorney Egan stated: (tape malfunctioned) In terms of dollars, it would be a substantial surcharge. Essentially it is based upon the calculation of what it costs the Village sewer treatment plant to treat those additional strength in waste.

Mayor Pontieri stated: The DEC allows us a BOD (biochemical oxygen demand) which is a formula that is used to determine the strength of the waste that you are putting into it. We have a load number of 300 that we are allowed to take in. We have had instances over the last several months (and some of the neighbors who live in the local area can attest to the fact that when the wind is blowing the wrong way we exceed that number.) Two things will help us with this. Number one, with the building of the plant, the odor issue should take care of itself. The second part of it is that by doing this, we will be able to manage and monitor those businesses that go above it and get back the revenue and the income needed for us to maintain and upgrade the plant to take care of it. It has two pieces to it, the financial piece that comes back to us and also the practical piece.

Village Attorney Egan stated: The board will recall that at the last meeting the board took an action against a property owner who was connected. The strength permitted on that property was

300 and that property owner discharged on average closer to 5,000. So the treatment of between 300 and 5,000 was borne by the cost to the sewer district to treat that waste. What this surcharge seeks to accomplish is to capture that waste and charge that property owner who is exceeding that scope instead of just simply cutting that property owner off from use of the sewer as the board did two weeks ago. The goal is to capture that difference and charge that property owner for that value that they have gotten.

Trustee Hilton asked: Isn't it possible though that a property would feel that it is cheaper for him to pay the Village than to buy the equipment to correct the BOD or to pay somebody to haul away the sludge. Because isn't it more than a cost to the sewer plant than the actual biological interference that prevents us from properly treating the waste that we have. My point is, I hope the fine far exceeds what it is going to cost for them to correct the problem.

Village Attorney Egan stated: As a course of doing business that is certainly a potential, but the surcharge hopes to minimize that choice because the surcharge is going to be significant. You are actually right; it depends on the property owners. Some property owners may view it as a cost of doing business. But, those are very few and far between. As an extreme example that we had two weeks ago, this would result in a substantial surcharge. Using that as an example from the last meeting, the property owner could potentially be exposed to a \$100,000 plus fine under the surcharge. That is roughly what it does cost the sewer treatment plant to treat that strength in waste.

Mayor Pontieri stated: That property owner is looking into the cost of buying the equipment to stop it at this time and the cost could even be more than the potential fine. Whatever he does, it is something that will be going forward. If it costs him half a million dollars to do it, then his payback is a five year term. It is all relative to dollars.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Hilton, seconded by Trustee Keyes, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to amend Chapter 353 "Sewage Disposal" of the Village Code to include a surcharge on discharges that exceed permitted strengths.

Mayor Pontieri stated: There is a request for approval authorizing the disposition of Real Property located at 72 Railroad Avenue from the County of Suffolk to the Village of Patchogue and the subsequent conveyance of this property by the Village of Patchogue to Ram Associates, LLC. What this is, there is a piece of property that the County took for tax purposes and then conveyed to us. Then Ram Associates who is doing the Clare Rose project will then take that property and put affordable housing on that piece of property. And that is the County's contribution to the affordable housing component, is the property itself. It is not a dollar transfer; it is just basically a transfer and then the subsequent lowering of the value of that property and then goes to Long Island Housing Partnership for payment thereof.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for approval authorizing the disposition of Real Property located at 72 Railroad Avenue from the County of Suffolk to the Village of Patchogue and the subsequent conveyance of this property for the Village of Patchogue to Ram Associates, LLC.

Mayor Pontieri stated: The pending decision, approval to amend the previously approved site plan for New Village Patchogue submitted by Tritec Development. There have been a lot of talk and discussion and a lot of pieces to this in the last two weeks. There is a lot of public here tonight that would like to make a comment. This board as a group has struggled not with the decision as to whether the four corners and that development needs to be re-developed. But, there has been a lot of discussion about process, a lot of discussion about input. I have my personal feelings on the project itself. Many of you out there know what my personal feelings are and many know what I would like to do tonight. But, this is a decision making board; one whose strength has always been that we attempt to work together at times and there are other times when there are disagreements. Unfortunately, that the board has asked is that we take some public comment tonight. And, I say unfortunately because I would wish to make the decision this evening, The board has requested that we don't make the decision this evening and that we hold the decision for a hard and firm date of March 1<sup>st</sup>. It is not a regular board night, but a Tuesday night. Between now and then, the board has the opportunity to meeting with Tritec and members of the Planning Board for additional comment. Like I said, this is a Paul Pontieri comment, I have and voiced it to the members of this board concerned, about holding onto the decision.

Because I feel there are times that you need to move forward and there are opportunities that are far and few and about allowing certain opportunities to go by. So, what I would like to do is ask for some general comments from the board and then I would ask if there are comments from the public; we will do two things. Please limit your comments if you are repeating something in your thoughts that are a repetition of what somebody has said, that you let the somebody else say it and not repeat. Otherwise, we will be here till all hours of the morning. Everybody's comments will be taken and considered. If you know me well enough—maybe it is from my old Assistant Principal days—we will handle this like lunch duty, stand on line—I expect that we will all handle ourselves in a civil and a professional way and that comments will be made for the betterment of a decision, not just for the fact that you can make a comment. I would like some general comments from the board members themselves and then the public.

Trustee Keyes stated: My early guess is come March 1<sup>st</sup> the members of the board will probably have the same opinions about the project that they have now, but will know that 100% of the board will be satisfied that they have seen everything that they have to see.

Trustee Devlin stated: I said it before and will say it again. I think it is a very innovative and attractive project. I think it has the potential to bring in professionals and empty nesters into the community. There has been a preponderance of articles which I printed out and brought but won't take up a lot of time with it, from the Rausch Foundation who published their Long Island Index this week, Newsday who basically repeated what was in the report. About the need to house young people, the changing landscape of the family. How the normal husband, wife and two children is a shrinking household. The single person household is the type of growing household that we have, or the unconventional, untraditional household. We all know what is going on with the economy. We know how difficult it is for people to get the 20% down. There are changes in lending guidelines. I have taken all of that into opinion. But overall, I think the Tritec people have proven to be great partners. I love what they did on 31 West and I would think that within my heart they will be great partners in this project.

Deputy Mayor McGiff stated: As you know, I voiced my opinions about the procedure on this project. It strained friendships, hasn't broken them, but maybe with 16 years of Catholic school I am big on following rules and procedures. I think to not do our due diligence as a board and as a community would be a terrible thing. As I told the Mayor, I wished we had a crystal ball. Is it going to be as Tritec envisions it, I hope so—I really do. But, having the opportunity to live outside of Patchogue for most of my life, I see what can happen if certain procedures are not put in place. I am happy that as a board we arrived at this consensus to let Planning who are the experts—in little old Patchogue at least. We have never acted as a Planning Board and are being asked to do this under the DRD, so I think to go it alone would be foolhardy. I welcome working with the Coughlins over the next few weeks. I know they are on a timetable, but hope this doesn't put you behind the eight ball too much.

Trustee Hilton stated: Mr. Mayor, I would like to thank yourself and some of the other board members who were ready to go on with this tonight. I believe we have taken the responsibility of determining from the planning aspect as to whether this project is something that will work in the Village of Patchogue and will please the residents. I believe with the amount of time that we have been given, we will be able to, between now and March, have the meetings that we need, have some of the questions we might have regarding planning aspects such as parking lot and lighting, just the normal things that up until now that we really didn't have the information we needed. I would like to thank the board for giving us the time to do so.

Trustee Crean stated: I would like to thank my fellow board members to allow me the extra time that I may need to deliberate on this. It is an important decision that we all know will change the landscape of our Village. And it is going to impact, not only the Village of Patchogue, but outside of our borders as well. I appreciate Tritec's patience with us as well. They have been great partners—have thrown a lot of skin in the game and we all recognize that. I appreciate the care they have taken and the vision that they have and what they have done with 31 West Main Street. While it is not completely full yet, I see at the completion of their project a lot of those spaces will be filled. They will bring some wonderful retailers that we desperately need. Without echoing a lot of the comments that have already been said, I am too as is Steve, looking forward to a continuation of discussion in a more formal setting. We have had a number of impromptu meetings—some of which had been held at 31 West Main Street and some at Tritec's corporate offices. But, it wasn't until mid-December that we have actually seen very detailed drawings of

what they were proposing. And after getting just a few days to look at it, certain concerns and questions came to mine that I really look forward to raising them with Bob, Jim and Rob. As I said in the backroom, I value everybody's opinion on this board. We each look at things a little bit differently and I think that is one of our strengths, as the Mayor has always pointed out, is that we come from different backgrounds and have a different way of looking at things. At the end of the day while we still may not all agree on what they are proposing, I think we will all agree that we follow the process and the public has had every opportunity to have their voice heard. In summation, I really look forward to getting the comments from our Planning Board who has more experience in looking at these finer details and would love to do it in short order.

Trustee Krieger stated: Like everybody on this board, when we found out in December, 2009, that the hotel wasn't going to come here I was disappointed, and we were going to have 291 apartments. Over the last year I think we have had enough conversation. Right now what I would like to hear is public comment and look forward to voting on March 1<sup>st</sup> to approve this project ahead and look forward to see it finished and the success that it is going to be.

Mayor Pontieri stated: I appreciate the comments from all of the board. I sit on the Long Island Regional Planning Council. It is a group that meets monthly and looks at projects that have regional significance, those that will have the greatest affect on the largest part of the region. We live here in Patchogue, our own little box, love the community that we have, lived here my whole life. But, I am also very cognizant of the fact that we have a unique opportunity here—you don't get people showing up very often with probably in excess of one hundred million dollars to invest in a community—to say "here, I am putting my name on a piece of paper that says I am in debt for \$100,000,000.00" to make something happen. We can all talk about how much money people are going to make---I have always had the theory about developers that is one of the things that you want them to do is make money. Developers that make money on projects, stay with the project. Developers that spend the time and the dollars to make it a quality project, and therefore bring in the type of resident that they want, and therefore make the return that they need, stay with the project. Projects get flipped when people are not making money and you squeeze them so tight that all of a sudden it is not a profitable piece to them. I just kind of lay that out a little bit because it is what I hear and see a lot of. If you have the opportunity tonight to go on the computer, Lori brought up the Long Island Index and see the report that has been done over the last two years, it talks specifically about downtowns and communities with downtowns. Tonight I would like you to keep your comments brief.

Public to be Heard:

Marc Siegel, owner of Blum's, stated: We have been in Patchogue 83 years—the oldest retail business. Parking is our thing—we fight for our parking. If they don't have enough parking over there they will be parking in our parking lot. We have the Theatre, the Elks Club, and the insurance people who park outside their place all day long. Just make sure that there is enough parking and don't overlap into our place.

Steve Fuoco, 32 South Pine Lake Drive, Patchogue, asked: Mr. Mayor, did you say there will be an official public hearing on this?

Mayor Pontieri stated: This is the Public to be Heard portion of this. The hearing will be closed at the end of tonight, we will meet with them, and on March 1<sup>st</sup> we will take a vote. What can happen after tonight up until February 14, 2011 we will take additional written comment that will be put on the record. The Planning Board meeting will be open, but not for comment. That will be a work session for board members only.

Steve Fuoco stated: Thank you so much for keeping this open and allowing the public to say more and for postponing the vote. Very much appreciated both professionally and personally. My opinion of the project is, I love Tritec as a builder, I think what they did at 31 West is commendable. However, I think that this plan has become too lopsided in favor of rental properties and that it should be a more balanced approach. In the Village of Patchogue we have 42% of rental properties already. It is the one thing that we have the most of in any community in Suffolk County and is the one thing we need the least of. I have asked Tritec privately and now publicly, please change the plan so that it is more balanced so that it has something better for Patchogue. I understand that rental has to be an aspect of it. It being 85-90% of the entire project I think is giving us more of what we already have the most of in Suffolk County. That is my

public comment and I hope that gets taken into effect by Tritec as well as by the Trustees and the Mayor.

Jessica McEvoy, 59 Mulford St., Patchogue, stated: I am 100% in support of this project as both a resident and a small business owner here in the community. I think that fear should not hold our community hostage on the movement forward towards progress. I think a big part of the fear is the negative stereotype of what a renter is. And, I do not think that the simple fact of owning or renting your home says anything about a person's character, especially in 2011. The simple truth is that if my husband and I had not purchased our home in 2003 before the peak of the market and before the need for a 20% down payment, we would not be homeowners in Patchogue or anywhere else on Long Island. I can assure you, we still would have picked Patchogue to live in. As a renter, it would not have changed who I am as a person or the desire to help my community be the best that it can be. To me, not enough parking is a high class problem. And although a nuisance, it is one that we should be proud of. It means we are doing something right in our downtown and it means that we are attracting people to come here and spend their time and money.

Kelleen Geyer, 25 Potter Street, Patchogue, stated: I am a director on the Patchogue Chamber of Commerce. I am in full support of this project. I don't think there is that much of a difference—40 units and the sewer can take, the traffic is okay. I thought it was an all go. It is not going to be everything we want or take care of everything. But, those are things we have to work on. Just like the Lace Mill was a huge thing, this is a huge step forward for us. And now we can move on to working on the other projects, about the parking or about possibly getting another hotel—there are more properties that the hotel could go onto. And, with the L.I. Index, we can figure out exactly what this downtown keeps—it needs to keep moving forward. I thank you all for your hard work a dedication. I am so excited. I have been in Patchogue since 2003 and I am just amazed. We came here because this community was moving forward.

John Devlin, 259 Cedar, Patchogue, stated: Lori and I have been here over 25 years and have seen remarkable growth and changes that have taken place in Patchogue. There are little books for sale showing what Patchogue looked like 150-200 years ago. It is really amazing what you guys have managed to do in the past 6-8 years, as a board and a government. I know the hard work that you put in. I know this is a second job for most, if not all of you. I do know the hard work that you put in and appreciate that. With that said, I would like to say this project needs to move forward quickly. People have money on the line. As the Mayor pointed out, they are not going to hang around while we diddle with this project. Second point, Hoboken in New Jersey is 50% rental with a median income of over \$100,000 per household.

Karen Zorzenon, 33 Park Place, Patchogue, stated: I am a member of the ARB. I have lived and owned a home for over 30 years in Patchogue. I think it is a beautiful place. I am a yoga instruction on Yoga Rama on North Ocean Avenue. I work in the evenings there and many young students ask when the Swezey building is coming down and when they can move in. I also have a student who recently bought a house in Patchogue. They had rented and they stayed.

Mary Kassner, 193 North Ocean Avenue, Patchogue, stated: While I am fully aware of the impact of money, I would like the board to also consider the impact on the school district. I know that Tritec has said there will be little or no impact to the school district. However, the board may not be aware of the fact that this project will feed into Medford Avenue Elementary School. Unfortunately, Medford Elementary is now on year two of the NYS Watch List Need For Improvement. The parents, the administration and the faculty of Medford are working very hard to change this problem. But, look at the impact when making your decision about bringing in 291 residential apartment units. Even if they bring one child into that school district, how is that going to impact Medford Elementary. Please, don't put a price on my child's education. Please make sure that my child's education is as important as the developer's money. That is all I ask.

Mayor Pontieri stated: One of the things that was looked at, and it is an issue, and it is always debatable, actually the number of possible students and the tax implications. My understanding is from the school district because it is actually about close to a million dollars more in just tax dollars that will go to the district, and hopefully, some of that will funnel to Medford Avenue School. I will take your comment under advisement and consideration.

John Halverson, 17 Maiden Lane, Patchogue, stated: I made my points at the last meeting and would like to reiterate the fact. I am still not sure and still have not gotten an answer, as far as I am concerned, why we have done everything even as far as taking that land.

Mayor Pontieri stated: We didn't take the land. There was a negotiated agreement on that process. There was a process that we were going through and in the middle of that process a decision was made by Mr. Furman and the Tritec people and they were in agreement.

John Halverson stated: An agreement was laid out and certain changes were made with the board to accommodate and go through with this project which I think was fantastic. And once again because Tritec made an investment and from what I understood from the last meeting, there was a downturn in the economy and they are not able to get certain financing. Why is that our problem? Why is it not Patchogue first? Why is it Tritec first?

Mayor Pontieri stated: Having lived here my whole life and taken a look at it, what I look at is, five or ten years down the road, if this project doesn't go forward, where will we be. Unfortunately, we all drive past the Swezey building now and don't notice it any more. There is only one retail place that has opened up on Main Street. Quench was a liquor store and now is a wine store. There are a number of telephone only stores. Then there is the Irish store that right now is the only retail that has come to Main Street in the last 7-10 years. My sense is that if you want to make an investment in our downtown, the first place you look at is the four corners. What they are looking at now is a building that could conceivably stay as it is or become something that we may not want it to be. That is what this board wrestles with— what do we want it to be and how do we get there. The Swezey building has been vacant for ten years.

John Halverson stated: In that ten years, Mayor, you and this board have a tremendous job on Main Street and I applaud you for that. And, yet it has been vacant for ten years.

Mayor Pontieri stated: I think of a lot of that is, and it goes back to prior administrations that took care of the Theatre. The Theatre puts 1,000 people through their doors 150 nights a year. I think those 150 nights is the anchor to the restaurants. That without that, Pera Bel does not move to where they are. Public House 49 makes a decision as to whether they are going to come or not because 150 days a year, almost half a year, they have 1,000 people walk by their door. So, I think the Theatre is that part of that anchor. (tape malfunctioned)

Public to be heard speakers:  
Bruce Borjes, Maiden Lane  
Jeff Langlon, Cedar Avenue  
David Sloane, Attorney for applicant  
Jonathan Keyes, Smith Street  
Pete Roe, Patchogue  
Eric Alexander, Vision Long Island  
Mark Fauci, South Ocean Avenue

Upon a motion made by Trustee Crean, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board voted to close the hearing and reserve decision until March 1<sup>st</sup> pending a recommendation by the Planning Board who will hold a special work session scheduled for February 1<sup>st</sup> and also after a combined hearing between the Board of Trustees and the Planning Board scheduled for February 17<sup>th</sup>. Written comments will be accepted by the Village Clerk until February 14, 2011.

Upon a motion made by Deputy Mayor McGiff, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board set a Public Hearing to be held on Tuesday, March 1, 2011, at 7:30 p.m. in the Municipal Building, 14 Baker Street, Patchogue, New York, by the Village Board of the Incorporated Village of Patchogue to decide on pending decision regarding request to amend previously approved site plan for New Village Patchogue submitted by Tritec Development Group, LLC, originally heard on November 22, 2010.

Upon a motion paid by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the meeting went into recess at 9:05 p.m.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Crean, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the meeting was opened at 9:15 p.m.

B.I.D. Report – Presented by Dennis Smith

Chamber of Commerce Report – Gail Hoag

Upon a motion made by Trustee Krieger, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for the Chamber of Commerce to hold the annual Carnival Sale Days on July 7, 8 and 9 on Main Street as well as a portion of North and South Ocean Avenue and for the Business Promotion Committee to close Main Street on Friday, July 8 from Ocean Avenue to Maple Avenue for Family Entertainment Night.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Krieger, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for Sidewalk Sales on August 4, 5 and 6.

Trustee Crean – presented Economic Development Report

Trustee Hilton – presented Planning and Zoning Report

Deputy Mayor McGiff – presented Building & Housing Report

Upon a motion made by Deputy Mayor McGiff, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for Peter Sarich to attend OSHA Training, January 30 – February 2 at a cost not to exceed \$1,200.

Upon a motion made by Deputy Mayor McGiff, seconded by Trustee Krieger, and unanimously carried, the board approved request for Blue Point Brewery to hold event on April 9<sup>th</sup>.

Trustee Devlin – presented Parks & Recreation Report

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Trustee Crean, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to hire Alexander Hendrickson and Nicholas Clemente as basketball instructors at \$8.00 per hour.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to hold the 2011 “World Tai Chi/Qigong Day” at Shorefront Park on Saturday, April 30<sup>th</sup>.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Devlin, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to hold Tai Chi classes at Shorefront Park on Saturdays from June 4 – June 25 and from August 13 – September 3.

Trustee Keyes – presented DPW Report and CDA Report

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to go out to bid for refuse disposal.

Upon a motion made by Trustee Keyes, seconded by Trustee Devlin, and unanimously carried, the board approved request to hire Tiderunner regarding Terry Street and Taylor Lane at a cost not to exceed \$2,000.

Inc. Village of Patchogue  
Board Meeting

January 24, 2011

Public to be heard speakers:

Millie Purg, Bay Village  
Mark Fauci, South Ocean Avenue  
Janet Amato, 301 Tracy Lane  
Michael Canavah, Harrison St.  
Sandra Dehner, Tracy Lane  
Marc Siegel, Blum's

Upon a motion made by Trustee Crean, seconded by Deputy Mayor McGiff, and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned at 10:10 p.m.

Signed \_\_\_\_\_  
Patricia M. Seal, Village Clerk

/id